

From: llegere@timeshamrock.com
Sent: 4/6/2012 4:40:59 PM

To: "Roy Seneca/R3/USEPA/US@EPA" <Seneca.Roy@epamail.epa.gov>
CC:
Subject: Re: One more thing on Dimock

Roy,
You are quick! You must want to go home on a holiday Friday...
Thanks so much for both of these answers.

Best,

Laura

On Fri, Apr 6, 2012 at 4:38 PM, Roy Seneca <Seneca.Roy@epamail.epa.gov> wrote:

Laura --

EPA has not done any detailed review to determine the cause. Our focus is first and foremost on the drinking water quality of residents.

Once all of the sample results are complete, we will conduct a comprehensive review to determine if there are any trends or patterns in the data as it relates to home well water quality. Our actions will continue to be based on the best available science and legal authorities.

Roy Seneca

EPA Region 3 Press Officer

Office of Public Affairs

seneca.roy@epa.gov

(215) 814-5567

From: Laura Legere <llegere@timeshamrock.com>

To: Roy Seneca/R3/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 04/06/2012 04:11 PM

Subject: One more thing on Dimock

Sorry.

Cabot just released a statement, that includes this line: "Importantly, the EPA again did not indicate that those contaminants that were detected bore any relationship to oil and gas development in the Dimock area, particularly given the fact that any contaminants are more likely indicative of naturally-occurring background levels or other unrelated activities."

Is this an accurate statement?

Also, I just want to be clear: Has the EPA made any determination about the source of any contaminants detected in the Dimock water?

Thanks,

Laura Legere

Staff Writer

Scranton Times-Tribune

570-348-9100 x 5184

llegere@timeshamrock.com